

Andrew in the approximate amount of over \$6 Million. Some real estate properties were awarded to Eloisa which are liabilities to her for lack of fund to pay for property taxes, maintenance, and association fees. Eloisa's interim spousal support awarded by the same Judge to Eloisa as her part from one half of the parties passive income was cut off from January 2008. Furer had been left in practical bankruptcy, with on funds to sustain herself. Eloisa's three recent attorneys have withdrawn from the case as Eloisa could no longer pay her legal bills.

If Mrs. Furer is put away in jail then Mr. Furer will be free of being prosecuted getting away with another criminal act just like the battery case that was suspiciously dropped by the District Attorney when Andrew had battered his wife. Why was the battery case against Andrew dropped? Is it because, Eloisa is a Filipino with no money as the \$80 Million assets were always in the control of the perpetrator for the entire length of their 25 years marriage, to the signing of the PNA. Until now even if there is an order from the court to transfer her assets to her. Plaintiff had been violating court orders since April 2006, November, 2006, and January, 2007. The court never sanctioned the plaintiff, instead is encouraging plaintiff conduct by participating in this bias personal assault on Mrs. Furer.

Adverse party is anxious and skeptical about Judge Carol Cooke. A motion for reconsideration was filed on the Extended protection order of August 14, 2008 due to fraud in the plaintiff's application. Eloisa's motion was totally ignored by the Court, yet this court had ordered a hearing to show cause filed by plaintiff, seeking a warrant for Eloisa's arrest.

Judge Cooke is the sister of Judge Valerie Cook who is a good friend of Earlene Douglas the live in body guard aka, now wife of plaintiff. Judge Valerie Cook had written a personal letter to Douglas on March 9, 2005 to obtain a copy of a picture of Douglas' daughter for a UNLV award ceremony. The letter indicates that Judge Valerie Cooke has a close relation with Douglas as suggested by "you can either drop the picture in my office or at my home. Earlene Douglas had also worked for Judge Mckee in his Boys Home, and she was a former parole officer who obviously had worked closely with the District attorney's office. Mrs Furer is skeptical about the fairness of this court as have been already demonstrated by the rulings in the Divorce case.

The following step by step well orchestrated secret plan was used to deceive Mrs Furer into signing the fraudulent PNA. The plan to have Mrs Furer incarcerated by plaintiff from trumped up malicious charges is the plaintiff's proposed conclusion of the plan to rid of his wife of 25 years, deprive her of the marital asset and ultimately silence her forever to enjoy his life with the fraudulently acquired millions. **Plaintiff is the ruthless,**

cold blooded criminal, who should not be allowed by the court to get away with one more crime.

STEP 1 The creation of the Family Trust. Eloisa suggested to have a Trust in 1999 for the benefit of their son due to their life style of extensive traveling when their son was a minor. Plaintiff told Eloisa that a trust attorney will be contacted. The trust attorney was contacted in 1999 as evidenced in documentations found but Eloisa did not hear about forming a trust from Andrew again until May 2002. According to Andrew's testimony in court it appears that the Trust Agreement and Wills of both parties were prepared by Don Ross with in four (4) days from May 20,2002 to the signing in May 24,2002. .

Plaintiff had lied in court that Mrs Furer was present in a meeting with Don Ross, and approved the transfer of \$50 Million bulk of the marital assets,(WAMU Shares) to plaintiff's separate trust in May 20,2002. Plaintiff also lied in Court in his deposition that during the same meeting, May 20,2002 Eloisa had asked \$15 Million from Andrew "in order for me to go away". The "\$15 Million PNA" is indicated in the hand written draft of Don Ross drawing of a Pie with \$15 Million PNA carved out. (see Exhibit)

Andrew also produced an over kill letter to Mr. Ross in an attempt to validate Andrew's lie and to coincide with the billing statement "**meeting with clients**" instead of **meeting with client** thanking him for" meeting my wife yesterday May 20,2004. Andrew never ever during the long marriage had written to anyone to thank them in meeting his wife.

Wood burn & Wedge also produced the visitors log, listing defendants name as the third person from the last person to see someone at Woodburn & Wedge , the last two persons in the building log book were also going to Woodburn & Wedge. The purpose of this is

an attempt to validate Andrew's lie. Mrs. Furer was only in a meeting with Don Ross during the May 24,2002 final signing of the Trust Agreement. Andrew did his very best to confuse Eloisa when her meeting with Mr. Ross was. In 2005, Eloisa signed a verified statement that she was not in meeting with Mr. Ross and Andrew when the issues written in the handwritten draft of Mr. Ross was discussed, which included her approval of Andrew's separate trust funded by WAMU stock and Eloisa's asking Andrew for \$15 Million for post nuptial.

In Andrew's testimony of April 13,2005 Andrew stated that he did not know for sure what date Eloisa had met with Don Ross, yet Andrew was certain in his testimony and in his produced letter to Don Ross ON May 21,2002 , that Eloisa indeed was with Andrew on May 20,,2002 meeting with Don Ross.

Because Mr. Furer was not honest with his dealing with his wife he got confused in his own lies. According to the true copies of documents exhibited here, Mr. Furer had a meeting with Mr. Ross by himself on May 14,2002 and on May 19, 2002 where Andrew had filled out Documents on May 19,2002. Andrew had a meeting with MR. Ross by himself on May 14 and May 19, 2002 when the separate trust and the PNA might have been discussed at first, confirmed in Andrew's handwritten notes on a communication document of May,23,2002,(see exhibit) Confirmed by Mr. Ross in his testimony that Eloisa was not present in his telephone call to Mr. Furer on May 23,2002 when Mr. Furer had gave him the final instruction to create a separate trust in his own name funded by the \$50 Million Wamu shares of stock.(see January 6,2006 hearing transcript.) .

Plaintiff also had a meeting with Mr. Ross on June 8, 2004, (**" Don Ross" 11:am "Est. Plan" noted in the parties 2002, calendar) This appointment with Don, is referred to in the email communication of April 30, 2004 , five days the PNA was signed.** after defendant ask for divorce, after finding out that plaintiff had invited women to the Marital home sixteen hours after the Fraudulent PNA was signed. Before the parties separated in June 30, when Andrew went to Jail for battery on his wife, he had told Eloisa to use the office of Mr. Delette, a trust attorney, friend of Don Ross for her Trust according to the MSA. This was another scheme of Andrew and Don Ross so they could both dictate to Mr. Delette whatever it was they were hiding to defraud Eloisa as still part of the deceitful plan of Andrew. Eloisa called Mr. Delette that she was going to use another trust attorney instead of him (see letter of Mr. Delette returning copy of the Andrew And Eloisa Trust agreement which was sent in advance to Mr. Delette for the meeting that Andrew for Eloisa with Mr. Delette. in his April 13, deposition also had stated that Shawn Meador was not consulted by Mr. Ross regarding PNA in May 22, 2002 contrary to Mr. Ross billing statement, stating "conference with Shawn Meador regarding PNA" in May 21, 2002.

There was no way the defendant would recognize that the bulk of the assets of \$50 Million . After review of plaintiff's separate trust , the last page Exhibit A is a piece of paper that has Credit Suisse , and Jenrette and Lufkin written in it and an account number. While, the Andrew & Eloisa Trust Agreement Exhibit A is specifically defined as exhibit for the Andrew & Eloisa trust agreement. This was the only document attached to Andrew's separate trust. (see exhibit of draft of trust, proof that Andrew

meet with Don Ross on May 19,2002 by himself before the alleged May 20,2002 meeting with Eloisa, January 6,2006 transcript of hearing with Don Ross and Judge Weller, deposition of Don Ross)

STEP II was the threat of Andrew to embarrass me in the Philippines, to tell my friends that "what you are spending is money you are stealing from me as you do not money of your own " during a centennial celebration of over 1000 people in attendance and I was the Hermana Mayor. This of course was to make me angry, and insulted and was a sure ground for divorce , which plaintiff had anticipated for me to cause to ask for divorce. I played right into the secret plan and scheme of plaintiff.

STEP III was the begging and crying of Andrew , pleading to Eloisa not to divorce him after I indeed asked for divorce after the centennial celebration. The gifting of Andrew to Eloisa of a \$114,000 Mercedes Sports car" as a token of my love and as a gift for agreeing not to divorce me " But Plaintiff's testimony in April and May, 2006, has a different version of what had occurred , say that he had bought the car for Eloisa for safety reasons, and had been bought by the trust which had no significance.

STEP IV is the inducement to have a Post Nuptial Agreement after Eloisa had agreed not to divorce Andrew, that the purpose of the PNA is to give Eloisa money in her own account, " a very large sum," to spend at Eloisa" disposal for all her needs and for her charity work in the Philippines " while we stay married so the incident in the Philippines will not occur again."

STEP V, the pretense of looking for a home in Las Vegas, looking for homes in Lake

Tahoe" so Incline home can be sold, and family will move to Las Vegas". Eloisa asked Andrew when he was seriously , considering in buying the house the couple saw at Fleur du Lac, Lake Tahoe, California side in February and March 2004, why he would buy a house in California ,knowing that Andrew hates to pay taxes more than necessary.

Andrew's reply was. "it will not make a difference as our main residence will be in Las Vegas ".Andrew was so spontaneous in all his reply in spite of the deceit he had planned so carefully to rid of the marriage after the PNA was signed.

STEP VI, the inducement of letting me go back to Las Vegas THE DAY AFTER THE SIGNING OF PNA to continue to look for home ,so Incline home can be sold, plaintiff declared that he will not go with me to look for home in Vegas as "we just fight on which home to make an offer, will follow Eloisa after she finds a home suitable for the family and the dogs".

STEP VII, the invitation of women to the marital home sixteen hours the PNA was signed, thirty minutes after Eloisa left marital home to drive to Vegas, Andrew made a reservation with Soffitel Hotel on May,4,2005 in anticipation of inviting women while Eloisa was in Las Vegas after the PNA was signed at plaintiff's demand that Eloisa continues to look for "our home so the Incline home can be listed this summer".

STEP VIII, Plaintiff following Eloisa to Vegas with their son, to make Eloisa think that the planned move to Vegas was real, May 28,2004 after women left the marital home.

STEP IX, the family's return to marital home after approval of plaintiff of home Eloisa had chosen for family, and Eloisa's discovery of plaintiff's infidelity June 2,2004, lipstick on couple's bed sheets, sanitary napkins and a woman's underwear on guest bedroom,

STEP X, after Eloisa had found and had confirmed infidelity of Plaintiff 16 hours after the PNA was signed it was clear that plaintiff had no intention of being married to Eloisa Eloisa then demanded for the divorce that she had asked for in January,2004.

STEP X Plaintiff's thought his scheme was now fully accomplished, thru the Marital Settlement Agreement after Eloisa was no longer in agreement with the PNA when she had realized Andrew had no intention of being married to Eloisa a day after the PNA was signed as evidenced in Andrew violating the stipulation of the PNA, when Andrew invited women to the Marital home 16 hours after the PNA was signed. Andrew's conduct was blatantly contrary to the expressed intent of the PNA. Eloisa did not want a divorce after Andrew had begged her not to divorce him. She signed an agreement that was intended to give her an opportunity to reconcile with her husband of 24 years.

The PNA states in part "**so as to remove such areas of conflict between them in order to maximize the odds of reconciling any other differences and allowing the parties to restore marital harmony and remain married**" Even after the PNA was invalidated in the November,2006)order Andrew and his Counsels still insists that the PNA is the Valid agreement, and the MSA is of no force and effect. This has been their argument in

the Motions filed by plaintiff on July 2,2004 Complaint for Divorce and exclusive possession of marital home, and August 5,2004, motion for Contested Complaint for Divorce, and Andrew's now recent appeal filed with the Supreme Court, That the PNA is the Valid Agreement , The MSA is of no fault and Effect. The allegation that Eloisa had revoked the MSA, is farthest from the truth. Andrew filed his Complaint for divorce in July 2,2004 at 11:31 am before the MSA was signed by Eloisa on July 2,2004 at 4:30 pm And signed by Andrew on July, 9,2004. Andrew, with total disregard of the legal documents he himself had filed had maintained a position that Eloisa had rescinded the MSA, This shows the Ruthless ,vicious, and mean character of Andrew that is . also driven by greed.

STEP X1, The filing of the exclusive possession of the marital home, to throw me out, Executed by Judge Schumacker, without hearing or notice to Eloisa before the PNA was validated by any Court.

STEP X11,

the stripping me of Eloisa's assets, Realizing the promise to Eloisa of plaintiff in July,2004 that if she does not sign the MSA, Andrew will make sure to make sure Eloisa will live a pauper, the move to put me in jail, with the help of all my attorneys, Judge Weller, Judge Schumacker, the District Attorney's office, retired Judge Manukian, Credit Suisse, Judge Steinheimer, the Reno Sheriff Department in Incline Village.

Complainant had been pursuing to have the adverse party incarcerated since plaintiff had filed his very first Motion for Restraining Order on August 18,2004 for which there

was no factual or legal basis. It was the complainant who was incarcerated and went to Jail in June 2004. for battery against the adverse party. The battery case against plaintiff was dropped by the District attorney in the most suspicious circumstances. Adverse party had not committed any crime in her 66 years of life, except for a moving violation.

Exhibit A, (and all attached exhibits) is a true and correct copy of an email communication between complainant and his counsel, are proofs of complainant and his attorneys, devious and atrocious characters, driven by greed to put Mrs Furer in jail, like Mr. Furer did

In desperation and obsession to obtain favorable ruling in this case, complainant had gone extremes again, a repeat of false and Malicious filing of complaint with the sheriffs office in 2004,(all attached as exhibits) with the apparent encouragement of the Court of to falsely accuse adverse party of plotting murder of complainant and Judge Weller. Judge had just recently allowed the Plaintiff's live in body guard aka , wife, to carry a gun in public with Mr. Furer, reversing his Order of December 23, 2006, after after extending a TPO order of Schumacker in December 2005. which is against the Nevada law. Judge Weller wants me to be harmed by this order, yet he had violated my constitutional rights to bear arms because of false and trumped up allegations, against me based on false allegations and innuendo, with no basis, Defendant will file motion for reconsideration on thie above order to show that Judge Weller has just endangered the life of Mrs Furer.

k

The Final Decree of Judge Weller resulted in Mrs. Furer's bankruptcy with no money to pay for her daily subsistence and obligation because of over \$2 Million illegal sanctions and reimbursement to Mr. Furer, depriving Mrs Furer of the dividends she is entitled to from the separation, stripping Mrs Furer of the interim spousal support based on a motion filed by plaintiff to ask permission to stay of interim support on December 28, 2007 which Judge Weller made his order to stop interim support on the February 22,2008 decree from January,2004 violating his own order of April 12,2006.

To add insult to injury, Judge Weller ordered Mrs Furer to reimburse Mr. Furer the interim spousal support paid to Mrs. Furer by plaintiff from their Joint account containing as of September 2007 over \$30 Million, from May 2006, to November 14, 2006.

Judge Weller, is sitting in a motion filed by my current attorney Mr. Fitting to associate an out of state attorney filed on May 8, 2008 which Judge Weller had not made any decision two months after such motion was filed. This again is to deprive Mrs Furer of her constitutional rights in being represented by a counsel of her choice. This is a

criminal and malicious maneuver of Judge Weller so Mrs. Furer is not represented in after trial motions being filed by plaintiff and depriving Mrs Furer of a Supreme Court representation now that his colleague, former Judge Robert Lueck had withdrawn his representation of Mrs. Furer,

The malicious order of Judge Weller to sell 69,000 shares of Mrs.Furer when Mrs Furer is entitled to her dividend of Washington Mutual stock since 2004 plus interest,which had an approximate value in October,2007 of \$ 6Million plus interest, the sanction of Judge Weller of over \$7, 500 .00 on the filing of Mr. Marks, on his motion of Unresolved issues, which was the right thing to do for the third phase trial, but, Judge Weller had other agenda to protect himself of reversal by the Supreme Court , based on his November 14, 2006, Order. The unfair and biased decision of Judge Weller to award at this time four years tax free contribution to the parties son of the total amount of \$46,000.00 when Mrs Furer needed the money most, due to Judg'e's corrupted decision..

The illegal rewriting of Judge Weller both the fraudulent agreements on the entitlement of Mrs Furer on the dividend of her shares of stock changing it from July 2004, to after his November 14,,2006 unclear Order where he had detailed the invalidity of the of the PNA in twenty three(23) pages and validated the MSA in two pages of his erroneous findings and argument.

Judge Weller's validating and approving the illegal representation of Ms. Unsworth of Mrs Furer during the PNA and MSA negotiation at the same time when Ms. Unsworth was being represented by Shawn Meador, in her fee dispute case, Pritchard vs Unsworth. Although Judge Weller had mentioned in passing that he was asked to testify in the. Unsworth vs Pritchard case, Judge Weller did not disclose that he was hired by Ms. Unsworth, as evidenced in his billing statement submitted to Ms. Unsworth.

Judge Wellers decision to cut off the spousal support that he awarded to the defendant on April 2006, was intended to be the entitlement of Mrs Furer to one half of the parties passive income until the Final decision. Judge Weller shorted Mrs Furer on her interim support from the parties passive income for the month of January and February 2008, again in violation of his order. (see order of April 12,2006.)

The order to stop spousal support from February,22,2008 had caught Mrs. Furer by surprise. Mrs Furer had to cancel her teeth implant a cost of \$100,000 for the whole mouth . Mrs, Furer had lost two teeth in 2007, have to spend \$6,000.00 for one tooth lost for new partial, she would have to spend another \$9,000 for the other lost tooth for another partial. Dentist and Mrs had decided for an implant that would have been the

same cost if Mrs have to loss some more teeth and was the advice of the dentist for health reasons.

Mrs Furer had planned on the redecoration of her home, and the installation of a swimming pool, after the insurance paid for the damage affected only by the water damage minus the redecoration. Mrs. Furer's home needed to be redecorated and additional furniture, outside painting of the home. MR. Furer had suggested that the home needed outside paint when he checked on this supposed home of the parties on May 28,2004.

The authorized selling by Judge Weller of the Marital home on an ex parte motion of the plaintiff giving Mrs Furer less than 48 hours to respond. (See plaintiff letter to Mrs Furer on September 17, 2008, then on the same day plaintiff wrote a motion of Notice of Defendant's Failure and refusal to Comply with order Authorizing Sale of Incline Residence,Etc. (see exhibit N 1 to 3) Judge Weller had given the \$11 Million proceeds of the sale of the Marital home titled under the name of both parties, to Mr. Furer and the parties joint account that had been in existence since the nineties but the account number was changed to another number during the fraudulent trust agreement.with a maintained amount of between \$16 to 18 Million. The total amount in the Joint account in September 2007 was over \$30 Million.

Plaintiff had exhibited in court taking the message out of context the message left by Mrs Furer , on page 6 ,line 3, " of plaintiff's Motion for Restraining Order filed August 18, 2004.

"Kill you --- my God....If I had a bad temper as you know you have said, somebody would be dead by now"

The actual message left in Mr. Furer's cell was " What? You said I threatened to kill you? My God, you are--you are-- you are- your lawyer is twisted (inaudible). I have your message here I didn't erase. You said that I have a very bad temper . I was responding to it by saying, if I have a bad temper, you know, as you have said ,somebody would be dead by now ,but obviously I don't have a bad temper ". (see true copy of transcribed telephone messages unauthenticated yet submitted to court as exhibits by plaintiff re typed messages from the August 18, 2004 exhibit on Motion for rRestraining Order, retyped for exhibit on the phase II trial Book C of Plaintiff.as trial exhibit in July 2006). (see exhibit J 1 & 2).

Instead of discouraging this conduct , the Court apparently participated in this biased personal assault on the adverse party, by unsupported rumors and innuendo concocted by complainant to seek adverse parties arrest.

It is the belief of the adverse party that complainant and his attorneys are truly encouraging Mrs. Furer to commit a criminal act so Mr. Furer will be free of his legal problems and get away with \$80Million of community property assets, which according to the Nevada Law is 50/50 , confirmed by Judge Weller during his testimony in the Darren Mack trial on television and also confirmed by Judge Weller on the January 25,2007 status conference hearing transcript page 24, lines 5&6 - Court states to plaintiff's counsel "one it already belongs to her. Its community property".

EXHIBIT A

April 30,2004 Email communication between Andrew E. Furer and his divorce counsel, Shawn Meador, five (5) days before the PNA was signed.

This Email Communication between Plaintiff and his Counsel Shawn Meador is an Elaborate Scheme Committed to Disposing of the marriage and defendant after the false representation by Plaintiff on the True Purpose of the Post Nuptial Agreement

There can be no question that this email show that Mr. Furer and his attorneys, including the parties Trust attorney Don Ross, were committed to disposing of the marriage and Mrs. Furer so that Mr. Furer could reap his windfall.

Shawn Meador

To: nvskibum@att.net
Subject: RE: Post-nuptial agreement

ok, I just sent drafts to associate to redline without this change so there will still be this one last blank in redline you get in a couple of minutes.

-----Original Message-----
From: nvskibum@att.net [mailto:nvskibum@att.net]
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 10:27 AM
To: Shawn Meador
Subject: RE: Post-nuptial agreement

60 days is fine. Doc should state that parties will use best efforts to complete earlier, if possible. OK?
Andy

> I suggest 60 days to give you some time. your thoughts?

>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: nvskibum@att.net [mailto:nvskibum@att.net]
> Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 10:23 AM
> To: Shawn Meador
> Subject: RE: Post-nuptial agreement

>
> Thirty or 45 days from signing. We need to make sure Don will be available to do
> it towards the end of May. Ellie will be returning to the area from a
> househunting trip around May 23. It will be hard to do it before she leaves on
> May 5. We can do it when she gets back.

>
> We may leave all the cash, etc where it is now until we split the assets and fix
> things permanently. After we sign the Agreement, she will keep track of the
> things she spends from our joint accounts, credit cards, etc. and we will settle
> up when we actually split and move the assets to their new homes.
Maybe
> something to this effect should be put into the agreement.

>
> For example, she may need money to put down a deposit on a new house, or pay
> for it, before the \$3 million cash is actually transferred to her (she needs a
> trust drafted to handle the cash and her other assets). I told her she can use
> our existing accounts for this and just settle up when we split the assets. The
> agreement should confirm this.

>
>
> Andy

> > Andy

> > How long do we want to say the two of you have to complete

estate
 > : planning after execution of agreement?
 > >
 > > -----Original Message-----
 > > From: nvsakibum@att.net [mailto:nvsakibum@att.net]
 > > Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 9:59 AM
 > > To: Shawn Meador
 > > Subject: RE: Post-nuptial agreement
 > >
 > > Shawn,
 > >
 > > Please have your assistant phone me at 832-1031 to tell me it has
 > > been sent.
 > > My
 > > e-mail program doesn't notify that new e-mail has arrived.
 > >
 > > Andy
 > >
 > >
 > > > I'm working on it now. Should be able to email you redline within
 > > hour.
 > > >
 > > > -----Original Message-----
 > > > From: nvsakibum@att.net [mailto:nvsakibum@att.net]
 > > > Sent: Friday, April 30, 2004 9:56 AM
 > > > To: Shawn Meador
 > > > Subject: RE: Post-nuptial agreement
 > > >
 > > > Shawn,
 > > >
 > > > I am not worried about a long averaging period, only that the
 > > > timing of a
 > > > divorce could be gamed to maximize the guarantee payment. Since
 > > > nobody knows
 > > > what the stock price will do 15-25 days after any given date (when
 > > > a divorce
 > > > might be filed), this should protect me, I think.
 > > >
 > > > Don't show anything to Sandra until I approve the draft, also my
 > > > wife should
 > > > approve sending it to Sandra first. She is hyper about avoiding
 > > > legal fees.
 > > >
 > > > Please call or e-mail if you need me. I need to go to Reno in the
 > > > afternoon,
 > > > and
 > > > it would be great to finish the draft if your schedule permits
 > > > this morning.
 > > >
 > > > Andy
 > > >
 > > >
 > > > > Andy
 > > > >
 > > > > Regarding point 2, I think it is a valid concern. Would
 > > > > a 3 month or 6
 > > > > month average make more sense?
 > > > >
 > > > > Shawn
 > > > >
 > > > > -----Original Message-----
 > > > > From: nvsakibum@att.net [mailto:nvsakibum@att.net]
 > > > > Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2004 8:05 AM
 > > > > To: Shawn Meador
 > > > > Subject: Re: Post-nuptial agreement

This document is a communication between Mr. Furer and Shawn Meador five days before the Post Nuptial Agreement was signed. The fraudulent plan is well illustrated that the moment I signed the PNA, that specifically states:..."to remove conflict between them in order to maximize the odds of reconciling any differences and allowing the parties to restore marital harmony and remain married " "From and after the date of execution of this agreement, the parties shall continue to live together as man and wife for so long as they mutually desire to do so",

" I am not worried about a long averaging period only that the timing of a divorce could be gamed to maximize the guarantee payment. Since nobody knows what the stock price will do 15-25 days after any given date (when a divorce might be filed), this should protect me, I think")

(" Averaging period " above , is in reference to "guaranteed price" of no less than \$41.63 of the WAMU shares at the time of transfer to my name my own account as promised for my own expenses).

The carefully orchestrated and atrocious scheme of Plaintiff and his attorney, included his scheme to launch an attack on my character through his motions, ultimately to make false accusations including accusations of my alleged sending anonymous letters, and my alleged plotting of murder to Mar the real deception and fraud that plaintiff had committed against defendant. From the fraudulent trust agreement, to the deceptive PNA, then the MSA.

The ultimate goal was so plaintiff disposes of his legal problems and provide him with his desired outcome, i.e. to prevent me from appeal to the Supreme Court and to be awarded all the \$80 Million community property asset all accumulated during the marriage hoping that I will die a quick death in jail due to my age (67 years old) and my poor health.

It is clear that Mr. Furer had no intention of being married to me a day after the PNA was signed. as evident in this email communication and Mr. Furer inviting women to the marital home to engage in intimate relationship sixteen hours the PNA was signed, leaving traces of his infidelity when I came back from Las Vegas. The purpose was to humiliate me and eventually ask for a divorce. (see deposition, attached) in concert with the devious and atrocious scheme with his attorneys.

This email document, like his false accusations of my alleged plot to commit murder, is a proverbial smoking gun of Mr. Furer's intentions to defraud me. It casts a strong spotlight on the clever timing of the creation of the PNA and MSA (that started from the fraudulent trust agreement the reason "Don" is mentioned in this document, Don Ross

was our trust attorney) that were calculated to manipulate me into false sense of security of our marriage.

Mr. Furer is a Harvard trained Attorney, graduated Magna Cum Laude a former partner Of the Robert Bass Group of companies, A vice president of Salomon Brothers on Mortgage and Securities, was part owner of American Savings Bank, A big contributor to Harvard University (\$5 Million) having the Chair of economics. Oliver Hart a famous economist ,carries the title of Andrew E. Furer, Chair of Economics. It was a calculated move of Mr Furer JUST LIKE THE CALCULATED scheme to the eventual divorce, to sponsor the chair of Economics that year as it was rumored that Oliver Hart was going to get the Nobel Price award in Economics, this way he would be famous. Plaintiff claimed the Harvard contribution was his individual asset after 25 years of marriage. Complainant did not have money of his own before the marriage. When the parties had bought their home in New Jersey he had to obtain a bridge loan from Salomon Brothers to put a down payment on the house.

There was no divorce nor intention of Mr. Furer to divorce that was discussed with me after I had agreed not to divorce him in January 2004 before we signed the PNA. I was the one who had asked for divorce in June 2004 again after I found the traces of his infidelity when I returned from Las Vegas.It was pre arranged between us that" we go back to Las Vegas right after we signed the PNA, to continue to look for our home so we can sell the Incline home this summer, buy a condo in lake for skiing and boating ", as Mr. Furer had put it to me, and I had testified in court.

The PNA was supposed to be signed on the May 2,2004 which somehow was moved to May 5,2004. On May 4,2004, Mr Furer had made a room reservation at the Sofitel in San Francisco, without my knowledge, for a double room non smoking (I smoke) arriving May 8, checkout May 10,2004 This reservation was changed to May 6, then eventually cancelled May 7,2004. The only logical explanation to the meaning of Mr. Furer's hand written notes, is this. Mr. Furer decided to invite the women to the marital home so he could leave traces of infidelity.

On May 2, 2004 when the signing of the PNA was moved to May 5,Mr. Furer announced that he was not coming with me to Vegas to look for our house, as we just argue on which house to make an offer on. That I should just go myself, look for the house I think that he would like too. A big office with room for a dog ran, and that after I found what I wanted he will follow me to Vegas to approve or disapprove of it.

I had agreed, as during our trip to Las Vegas in January 21 -25,2004 , we could not agree on a house to make an offer on. This was after I had agreed not to divorce him and

had agreed to the PNA that he had suggested. Mr. Furer instead, bought me a \$114,000.00 car "as a gift for agreeing not to divorce me".

He made me believe that the purpose of the PNA was so the incident of the threat in the Philippines to embarrass me that I am stealing money from him as I do not have money of my own, would not be repeated. He was going to give me a separate amount of money at my disposal so he will not be looking over my shoulder on my expenses and my Charity work in the Philippines. Everything will be the same , except you will have your separate money.

In the first and only draft that was explained to me by my counsel, the amount was \$15 Million. I was embarrassed to even think that I had asked for a divorce when , I thought my husband really loved me. He was willing to move to Las Vegas for me, as I do not like the winter in Lake Tahoe., He just bought me a very expensive car and now \$15 Million in my own name

After all the loving gestures of my husband I did not think I was up against an elaborate scheme

EXHIBIT A 1 --- Trust agreement documents. See narrative.

EXHIBIT B --- Reservation at Sofitel Hotel – May 4, 2004.

EXHIBIT C1 --- Telephone bill showing Mr. Furer was in a telephone call to the woman in Las Vegas 30 minutes after I left for Las Vegas

EXHIBIT C2 --- American Express bill showing Mr.Furer charged Airlines Ticket for Tracy Hosley the woman he was in the telephone for three(3) hours on May 6, 2004

EXHIBIT C3 --- Mr. Furer's notes about women he invited to Marital Home from May 6 to May 28, 2004 while Mrs. Furer was in Las Vegas. This was the paper Mr. Furer was looking for when he had called the Sheriffs to the house, claiming I had stolen from him, and claimed I was going to kill him. I found this paper a day later in the middle of a bag full of receipts.

EXHIBIT D --- Deposition of Mr. Furer – April 13, 2004

EXHIBIT E --- Post Nuptial Agreement

EXHIBIT F --- Mr. Furer's incarceration on the battery case

EXHIBIT G --- (This statement)Summary of Mr. Furer's malicious and fraudulent report to the Sheriff's Office from November 1, 2004 to June 9, 2004, trying to have Mrs. Furer incarcerated by made up lies and stories to the Sheriff's office and their son.

EXHIBIT H1 --- Report filed – Oct. 25, 2005

Mr. Furer filed a report about a death threat letter sent to him by mail.

Accusation: “ I believe this to have originated from my estranged wife, Eloisa B. Furer or a friend or agent of him”.

Response: this collage letter must have been sent by Mr. Furer to himself by one of the women he invited to the Marital home or most probably by his Detective Joseph Martin who had filed a false report with the sheriff's office ten days after the supposed incident had happened see Report filed on September 30, 2005- enclosed

EXHIBIT H2 --- Report filed – September 30, 2005

Joseph Martin filed a report at Sheriff's Office on August 30, 2005 for an incident on August 18, 2005, that he “heard a female voice, Asian accent in front of residence in Incline while Mr. Martin was in residence.

This report was documented at Sheriff's Office on August 27, 2005 with a Stamped note – “THIS REPORT NOT TO BE PRODUCED.”

This is again a made up accusation against Mrs. Furer.

Mr. Martin who was in residence at the Incline home, as stated, must have seen my car parked at the Biltmore all night to make up a false report – to earn his living

After I saw Mr. Martin at the July 11, 2007 hearing, as a witness, I had recognized him to have approached me and my then Lawyer Ms. Mckeeon two mornings while we were having breakfast at the Biltmore Hotel. He approached us at our table and introduced himself as coming from California, in Lake Tahoe on vacation, and always have breakfast at the Biltmore Hotel when in Town.

I had pointed Mr. Martin to the front Desk personnel if they had known him as a regular guest at the hotel, I was told that this is the first time they have seen him before or .while I was staying at the Biltmore hotel, I had two flat tires. Sometime in August, 2004, I woke up at 2:00am and decided to go to the post office to pick up my mail.

From the time after I left the parking lot, I noticed a "van" was following my way to the post office. At first I thought it was a "Tow" van as the lights were very bright. I was scared as this "van" was behind told me all the way to the post office.

When I stopped at the post office, shaking, the siren started blaring. It was the sheriff's van. I asked what was wrong? The Sheriff that they had a "concerned citizen" call that I was driving erratically on the road. I asked why then did they not stop me as I have noticed them (not recognizing) follow me from the time I left the Biltmore parking lot? The drive from the Biltmore hotel in Crystal Bay to the Incline post office is approximately 1 ½ miles. I was asked if I was drinking. I told the two sheriffs I just woke up. I was then asked to get out of my car and was asked to follow some hand movements.

The Sheriff then told me "you are ok". And let me go. I did not get the names of the Sheriffs as I was scared at 2:00am.

I now realize that the above incident was a harassment and intimidation act of Mr. Furer thru his detective, who I now know used to work at the Sheriffs department.

During the July 11, 2007 divorce hearing, I was in the stand being crossed by my own lawyer. I started to testify about the incidents of my flat tires while staying at the Biltmore, and the incident of being followed to the post office by the Sheriffs.

After I had seen and recognized Mr. Martin during the hearing as the detective of Mr. Furer, who had approached me two times at the Biltmore Hotel I wanted to talk about the incidents.

My attorney, all of a sudden stopped her cross in the middle of my statement and sat down. I fired my attorney right then at that hearing.

EXHIBIT H 3 --- Sheriff Report filed by Mr. Furer on November 25, 2004

Accusation: An "Asian man" taking photographs at 949 Lakeshore. Filed by Mr. Furer "to document this incident in case there is a pattern in the future".

Response: This is again Mr. Furer's harassment on Mrs. Furer. According to his statement, "I drove to where my wife, Eloisa is staying (Tahoe Biltmore). Her car was in the Parking lot. Near it was a vehicle resembling the one used in the photographing incident.

As Mr. Furer had gotten the license plate, why didn't he asked his friends, the

Sheriff's department, to identify it and verify if this owner of the SUV is connected to me.

This statement of Mr. Furer is a lie and made up, as he said "to document in case there is a pattern in the future." Mr. Furer and his attorneys have been trying so hard to get me in jail in revenge for his going to jail due to battery on me. Another goal of Mr. Furer to get me in jail is so he will end his legal problems, and get all the Marital Assets to himself.

Mr. Furer and his detective are both in violation of the TPO for following and stalking Mrs. Furer while she was staying at the Biltmore Hotel from August 7 2004 to October, 2005 causing her two flat tires, and being threatened by the Sheriffs office.

EXHIBIT H 4 --- Report filed - June 8, 2004

Mr. Furer had called Sheriffs office to the house to report that Eloisa had stolen a piece of paper he was frantically looking for. When Sheriff's came, Mr. Furer had told them Eloisa also tried to kill him. Sheriffs told Mr. Furer how could he accused his wife to steal a piece of paper from you?

This was just an excuse of Mr. Furer to leave the house to check in at Motel 6 as he had invited Julie Blain from June 8 to 10, 2004, as shown in AMEX Statement

Mr. Furer had stated in this report of June 8, that " this is becoming a dangerous situation and very threatening and hostile".

If indeed Mr. Furer had thought the situation of their being together in the house on June 8, 2004, how come Mr. Furer came back to the house (after spending three (3) days at the Motel 6 with Julie Blain on June 8 to 10, 2004) on June 10 until June 30, 2004 when he went to jail. Andrew and Eloisa slept on the same bed when Andrew came back on June 10 until June 30 when he went to jail. How dangerous could Mrs. Furer have been in June 8, and not dangerous from June 10 to June 30?

EXHIBIT H 5 --- June 9, 2004 (19:08:33) reported to Sheriffs by landline with male Subject who stated that his wife had threatened to shoot herself over their recent split.

This report is classified by the Sheriff department as "suspicious circumstances"

Accusation: That I was going to shoot myself over their recent split.

Response: (Background)

Mr. Furer left the residence on June 8, 2008 after calling the Sheriffs that I had stolen a piece of paper from him. Mr. Furer had created this atmosphere as an excuse for him to leave the house to check in Motel 6 to be with a woman he had invited to the Marital home right after the PNA was signed.

At around 5:30pm Andrew had had called Eloisa. Mr. Furer had asked where She had been the whole day. I told him it was none of his business. When he persisted, I told him I went shopping. Mr. Furer was interested in what I had bought. He asked, "did you buy a gun?" I told him it was none of his business. As he persisted, if I had bought a gun, I told him " maybe". While we were talking, I heard a telephone ring and a woman's voice. (I already knew that he was somewhere after he left yesterday, with Julie Blain. See cell phone billing calls to 408-966-9790 stopped on 6/08/04 at 11:03 – next call was on June 11, 2004)

I told Mr. Furer I did not care as I was the one who asked for a divorce in the first Place and went to sleep.

At 7:00pm, I was awakened by my son with two Sheriffs who entered our bedroom. Our son had told me that he was at the Hyatt getting some food when his father called him to let the Sheriffs in as I was going to kill him, and kill myself. His father also told him to get out of the house, as his life (our son) was also in danger. What kind of a father is this?

The officers had asked me if I had bought a gun as Mr. Furer had reported to them. I told them I did not. I was very upset as they had awakened me. The officers left.

1.) There is suspicious about this supposedly report by Mr. Furer at 7:02pm on June 9, 2004 after scrutinizing the sheriff report and the billing statement of Mr. Furer's cell phone. Mr. Furer had not made a call to the Sheriff's office whether to Reno or Incline on June 9, 2004. The majority of Mr. Furer's calls were to our home – 775-832-103 and to our sons cell phone 617-218-7924 eleven (11) phone calls from Mr. Furer from 6:56pm to 9:32pm

2.) 7:02 – the Sheriffs reports dispatch advised that they were "landline with male subject who stated that his wife had threatened to shoot herself over their recent split

1.) who was the male subject

2.) from what landline phone number, in usual reports. At least a telephone number is indicated of the caller to Sheriffs.

3.) According to the Sheriffs report " Fire (department) is staged at Juanita St. and Village at 7:11pm. Why? At 7:13:08 complaint "hung up the phone" at 7:13:21 my son entered the Residence (according to this report – he and the Sheriffs located Eloisa in the master bedroom of the house sleeping in a bed" ---"Eloisa did not qualify for involuntary committal at this time and we left the residence. She also refused to offer a voluntary committal."

Why would I offer to be committed and why was the fire truck already staged at Juanita when the Sheriffs came at 7:02pm, when I was sleeping?

4.) According to police report at 7:14pm, "she just let a couple of Dogs out where I'm at and closed the door". (I did not get up from bed for at least 30 minutes. More after the Sheriffs left.

5.) According to report at 7:25pm "Go ahead and cancel fire – all verbal this time – no medical needed."

6.) According to report at 7:30pm and 7:31pm Mr. Furer still on the phone again – "won't tell him what it is- saying that if she goes to jail/ that'll will be it. Think she is going to hurt herself" XFER HIM TO MY CELL – She is not really willing to talk with us. However all seems C4 – she did get agitated when he called her – Response: I did not talk to Mr. Furer while the Sheriffs were at the house .

7.) According to Police report at 7:44:24 4T30 SAS – "Unfounded as far as condition of female."

8.) At 7:44:24 'STATUS SEGMENT CLOSE'

9.) At 2025 – (8:25) Sheriff was again notified of a suicidal subject at 949 Lakeshore Blvd

The report states: " upon arrival, I blocked Eloisa's car in her driveway with my Vehicle. Eloisa immediately exited her car and began to walk back into her house. I ordered Eloisa to stop and to show me her hands. She immediately complied."

10.) Response: **The statement above is untrue:**

I just walked out of the side door of our house with my over night roll away suitcase, After telling my son I was going to the Bay area to visit his Tita Anda, a

close friend who was dying. As I was approaching my car that was parked in the drive way three sheriff officers entered the gate of the property from the street one of them had a drawn gun. He asked me to “stop and freeze”. I dropped my role away suitcase and raised my hands. I had wet my under wear from fear when I saw the gun pointed at me. I have not had the experience like this in my life.

11.) **This Definitely was an excessive action by the sheriffs, when I had in my one hand a rolling suitcase and a purse on the other hand – which were obviously visible to the sheriffs – Why the drawn guns?**

12.) The sheriffs statement that: “Eloisa admitted to telling her son that she had a gun, but that she only had a camera- is erroneous- I told the officer that it was Mr. Furer who said that I had bought a gun. (I have my camera as I was going to visit a dying friend who have several visitors and friends from all over the US and from the Philippines. I have not seen them for years.)

13.) The statement of the officer “ She said I would like to hurt my husband- then she said I was just kidding” Alexander’s also told me that his mother had threatened to kill herself and was threatening to hurt his father. Based on Alexander’s comments and the continuing strange behavior or comments of Eloisa, I determined that she was a threat to herself and possibly a threat to her husband and others. I explained to Eloisa that she had the option of voluntarily committing herself.

14.) Response:

The narrative of the conversation of the officer’s report is erroneous and untruthful. I never told my son that I was going to kill myself or his father. As I was going out of the door, my son asked me what I had in my pocket and hassled led to see what it was before I could reply, and saw it was a camera. My son was talking to his father at the same time. I heard my son tell his father – “Father, she only has a camera in her pocket.” My son told after he hung up from his father that his father is insisting I had bought a gun. I was now upset at this time of the harassment, and getting my son involved and worried about me- I told my son, maybe I will buy one if your father insists that I had bought one. Realizing that this might worry my son, I told him, I was just kidding.

15.) **While the three sheriffs, my son and myself were sitting in the kitchen table, I told the Sheriff that I have to go now to drive to the Bay area. The officer told me that “ I suggest you should not go, as you are upset and your son told us that you have not slept last night. When I insisted, I must go to**

the Bay area as I had friends there visiting our dying friend who were leaving the following day, the two officers got up the kitchen table to confer, while the other officer had stayed with me and my son in the kitchen table.

When the two officers came back, to my surprise and horror, they told me that "they have decided to take me to the hospital for evaluation – they used this word in front of me- but they used voluntary committal in the report) I was told I had two choices. If they take me, they will handcuff me. My other alternative was the ambulance who they will call. I told the officers I am not going to the Hospital – I was told than that they will handcuff me. I had no choice. Embarrassed and scared, I took the option of being taken by the ambulance.

EXHIBIT I

Opposition to Motion for Restraining Order filed by Defendant on August 30, 2004

This opposition strengthens the proof that plaintiff is a batterer, a liar, who files false Motions to hide his fraudulent deceptive pursuit of the PNA, TRUST AGREEMENT.

The Motion filed by Plaintiff is the first motion after he filed a complaint for Divorce and exclusive possession of the Marital Home on July 2 before the PNA was signed and a complaint for Divorce on August 5, 2004, which were both a recession of the MSA.

The Motion filed by Plaintiff to protect him from Eloisa's emotional reaction to his conduct of battery on Mrs. Furer is neither legally nor factually appropriate, after all it was plaintiff who went to jail on June 30, 2004 for battery of Defendant.

This Motion is the foundation of the Plaintiff pattern of false, fraudulent, malicious filing with the courts, the Sheriffs office, and multiple fraudulent sworn, notarized statements, punishable by law- Mrs. Furer will deal with this in a different case.

EXHIBIT J1 - J2

True copy of transcribed telephone messages by Plaintiff himself taking left messages out of context exhibited on Plaintiffs Motion for Restraining Order filed, August 18, 2004 and retyped for the April 2006 hearing exhibited in Plaintiffs Book C.

EXHIBIT K 1

Copies of repeated messages on all 56 pages of exhibit on August 18, 2004 – Motion for Restraining Order.

Plaintiff Alleged that there were 139 telephone messages left by Mrs. Furer in Mr. Furer's cell phone from June 30, 2004 after Mr. Furer was imprisoned for battery of Mrs. Furer . Plaintiff had re

Response:

As Mrs. Furer had pointed out in court during the November 1, 2004 hearing on this matter, the 139 messages were repeated several times all over the 56 pages of exhibited, non authenticated transcriptions of message, for first impression on the thickness of the exhibits .

According to the retyped Transcription exhibited during the April 2006 hearing, Plaintiff ha admitted to the serious misrepresentation of repeating such MESSAGES TO MISLED THE COURT as only" A MISTAKE ".

How can messages from June 30, 2004 and July 14, 2004 be in Tape I and at the same time be in Tape 5? The July 14, 2004 messages on Tape I repeated again on Tape 2 and Tape 5 And so on and so forth..

EXHIBIT K 2 Index

" Index " –(4 pages) notice the same dates in different tape numbers.

EXHIBIT K 3

Billing Statement of Mr. Furer's cell phone from June 30, when plaintiff went to jail and separated, to July 21, 2004 . Out of 62 Retrieved Messages it appears that only about 20 to 30 messages that Mrs. Could have left in in Mr. Furer's Cell phone. It appears that most of the retrieved messages were from Shawn Meador, Julie Blain and our son. Here is another pattern of plaintiff's intimidation, harassment, and the repeated twisting of facts to confuse whoever gets confused including the court to hide the substance and the true issue of this divorce litigation, WHICH IS THE FRAUD COMMITTED BY THE PLAINTIFF and HIS COUNSELS against the defendant.

The accusation that I had left 139 messages is not only without merit but propesterous, and maybe a crime. The only other dates that I was supposed to have left messagenot in the billing statement was on July 27, 29, and August 2 and August 5. Certainly if I had

left messages on these dated it will not be more than ten (10) which will bring the total of my calls to about less than forty (40) messages.

The Unfairness by the court towards Mrs. Furer in granting a TPO based on these lies and misrepresentation by the plaintiff is clear. What is clear too is that most of the decisions of this court are in favor of the plaintiff, tolerating Plaintiff and his counsel's abuse on Mrs. Furer.

Exhibit L

Mrs. Eloisa Furer telephone bill of February 2008 showing that Mrs. Eloisa Furer was never in Reno at the time of allegation of Mr. Lueck and Julius Simonini of Credit Suisse, on the alleged death threat to Judges life that I was in Reno.

Exhibit M

Mr. Daniel Marks Objections To Proposed Order Following Status Conference filed December 8, 2006 and Objection to Proposed Order Regarding Trial Date and Other Procedural Matters, Filed May 9,2007 by defendant's counsel.

Plaintiff's serial pattern in writing orders following status conference wherein he injects orders, and statements, not discussed during the status conference (see exhibits two of the several that Plaintiff tries to add statements to his advantage hoping the judge will not notice, as is in these two cases In one of these, plaintiff ruthlessly and maliciously altered the recorded transcript , accusing Eloisa of uttering to the court "you are lying" when the statement was directed to plaintiff's attorney, Shawn Meador. .

EXHIBIT N Re., the sale of the Incline Home
(see letters between Shawn Meador, Defendant and The Court)

Letter of Mrs. Eloisa B. Furer to Mr. Shawn Meador on September 17, 2007

Letter of Mr. Shawn B. Meador to Mrs. Eloisa Furer on September 17, 20

Plaintiff's letter to Mrs. Eloisa Furer dated September 17, 2007- stating "you are correct. The Judge's handwritten note is inconsistent with the type written language --- we will stipulate to have the order corrected to get rid of the inconsistency. I am faxing a copy of this letter to Judge Weller so that his office can correct the error on its own without the need for motion practice".

On the same day September 17, 2007, Plaintiff filed Motion Notice Of Defendant's Failure And Refusal To Comply With Order Authorizing Sale Of Incline Residence, Etc.

The Order of Judge Weller Authorizing Sale Of Incline Residence, Etc. was signed on September 11, 2007, while defendant was waiting for the Judges correction as Mr. Shawn Meador promise in order to avoid practice motion, I did not know that Judge Weller had already signed the Order on September 11, 2007.

EXHIBIT O

1) Order Denying Motion To Permit Storage Of Disputed Personal Property

Plaintiff violated the September 7, 2005 Court Order by storing defendants personal property on March 9, 2006, as is verified by the Billing Statement of Owens Brothers Transfers Company, Incorporated dated March 8 and 10, 2006.

2) List of items packed and stored on March 8, 2004

3) Billing of Owens Brothers in December 7, 2005 and March 9, 2006 on packing and moving of personal property.

Someone in Incline had called Mrs. Furer in Las Vegas, congratulating her that she is finally being allowed to move her personal property. According to the individual who happens to pass the marital home while jogging, she saw big boxes, and furniture being loaded into the 20 feet containers loaded via a ramp to the 20 feet or so van. Mrs. Furer called her attorney and the Sheriff's office to have this verified if Plaintiff had indeed violated the court order. In response to Mr. Daniel Marks inquiry, Plaintiffs attorney, had written the attached letter, enumerating the items being packed and stored in another location 1060 Tahoe Blvd. Incline Village, a different location from the Marital home.

This listed items by Plaintiff packed and stored, does not need a 20 feet container, taking two days to pack and load, for the total charges of \$3,270.67.

This is an insult to the intelligence of Mrs. Furer, and the court which is obviously being tolerated. What is Plaintiff's hold on Judge Weller that all his decisions are in favor of the Plaintiffs, ignoring and condoning all the abuses. Defendant has many more examples of the constant distortion of facts, lies, intimidation and coercion of the Plaintiff obviously with the blessing of Chuck Weller.

EXHIBIT P

MRS. ELOISA B. FURER OBJECTION AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AND STAY OF THE EXTENDED TEMPORARY PROTECTION ORDER AND TO SANCTION APPLICANT FOR PERJURY FILED ON AUGUST 24, 2007.

THIS MOTION WAS TOTALLY IGNORED BY THIS COURT WITHOUT ORDER OF DENIAL OR ANYTHING

EXHIBIT Q

Plaintiff's, repeated non performance on the order of the Court to Return Photographs and Miscellaneous items from the cars, the needed documents in order for Mrs . Furer to drive her cars legally ordered on April and May,2006, January,2006,November 2006 and December,2006. Plaintiff have not ,up to todays date returned to Mrs Furer the selected photograph from Mrs. Furer's cars retrieved on Sept 14,2005 under a stipulation agreement signed and filed in Court separately by Meador and Mahan signed by two different Judges on September 14, 15, 2005. All of the photos forwarded to Mrs Furer in January 2007, after eight months from the first order were old photos stored since 1997 at a storage company, and some photos packed on September 28,2004 as part of the 28 boxes that were opened by Mr. Furer to take the items he wanted. The items taken were items listed by Mrs. Furer as items to be reimbursed during the July 11,2007.

EXHIBIT R

Mr. Furer's representation to the court on April 12,2006, when he told the Court that the reason why he had not forwarded the photographs to Mrs Furer was due to Ms. Petroni's refusal to sign a side agreement that plaintiff will return photographs from the retrieved cars if he will be reimbursed by Mrs Furer the \$10,000 plaintiff had advanced to the Paris bank to pay for the Paris apartment expenses. Plaintiff lied to the court. The \$10,000 that plaintiff had stated to the court he had sent was a \$10,000 plaintiff had transferred from the Bank Pariba dollar savings account to the Euro checking account to the awarded to Mrs Furer in the PNA and MSA. This is one of the serial lies of plaintiff to the court that have remained unpunished , instead totally tolerated by the court at the expense of Mrs. Furer. There are many more I can exhibit to the pattern of harassment,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

I swear the above statement is true and correct.

[Handwritten signature]

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 21 day of July, 2008. My Commission expires on the 7th day of may, 2009

[Handwritten signature]
NOTARY PUBLIC

