

GORDON H. DEBAGLI
JOHN P. FOWLER
JOHN F. MURTHA
STEPHEN S. KENT
NICHOLAS P. FREY
W. CHRIS VICKER
SHAWN B. MEADOR
A. BLAIN ANDRAUS
DON L. ROSE
GREGG P. BARNARD
DALE T. BERGLUND
SHAWN C. PEARSON

WOODBURN AND WEDGE
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
6100 NEIL ROAD
SUITE 200
RENO, NEVADA 89511
P.O. BOX 2511, RENO, NV 89507
775 688-3000
FACSIMILE 775 688 7088

DANE W. ANDERSON
MICHAEL W. KLANE
JOHN F. KRUSCHER
SHARON M. PARKER
JESSICA S. HANSON
BRENOCH R. WIRTHLIN
BENJAMIN A. JOHNSON

WILLIAM K. WOODBURN 1810.16291
VIRGIL H. WEDGE 1112.25001
CASEY W. VLAUTIN 1158.2001
JAMES J. HALLEY 1137.20071

April 16, 2008

SENT VIA FACSIMILE - (702) 385-3225

Robert Lueck, Esq.
Robert Lueck, Ltd.
528 S. Casino Center Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Re: Furer v. Furer

Dear Bob:

I spoke with Mr. Furer about your request to disburse \$35,000 from the proceeds of the fourth quarter WAMU dividend. As you may recall, the fourth quarter dividends were taken into account at the time Mr. Furer was required to sell some of Mrs. Furer's stock to pay certain debts. Therefore, at this time, the only funds left in that account are the sums set aside under the Decree with which to pay Mrs. Furer's taxes arising out of the sale of that portion of Mrs. Furer's stock under the Decree.

Based upon her history, Mr. Furer has very serious concerns about whether Mrs. Furer would actually honor any agreement regarding the proposed advance. She didn't pay many of her prior lawyers the sums she owed them. She forced them to hearing. And, even after hearing and order she has now appealed two of them. Mr. Furer continues to receive communication from creditors who claim that Mrs. Furer has not paid them for services. He, obviously, has no knowledge or opinion about whether those sums are due. He's worried about the pattern of behavior.

Similarly, Mr. Furer has serious concerns about whether Mrs. Furer would actually honor any stipulation we may enter with respect to this matter. On two prior occasions during this divorce proceeding Mrs. Furer's counsel entered formal, written stipulations on interim matters. Mrs. Furer then refused to honor those stipulations and asserted that her lawyers did not have authority to enter into the stipulations on her behalf.

However, Mr. Furer is prepared to advance the requested \$35,000 from the money now set aside to pay Mrs. Furer's taxes. The parties would each reserve all rights and claims they may have with respect to the ownership of those funds. If the matter is not resolved before next April 15th, when the

Robert Lueck, Esq.
April 16, 2008
Page 2 of 2

taxes are due, Mrs. Furer would restore the funds at that time so that the taxes could be paid. If she has to sell some of her undisputed WAMU stock or take a margin loan against her stock to come up with the money, so be it. (She, of course, could sell some of her undisputed stock or get a margin loan now if that approach is preferable to the proposed stipulation). Similarly, the parties would each have an absolute obligation to "true up" upon resolution of the issue of ownership. There would have to be a full indemnity clause to protect Mr. Furer in the event he, in some way, is required to pay Mrs. Furer's taxes, attorneys' fees, costs of enforcement, etc.

Because Mrs. Furer has previously claimed that her lawyers did not have authority to enter certain stipulations, we will have to insist that the stipulation be prepared to require both parties' signatures, as well as their lawyers' signatures so that there can be no future claim that you lacked authority to enter the stipulation on Mrs. Furer's behalf.

Given these concerns and dynamics, if you would like to proceed, please get me a draft stipulation for our review.

Sincerely yours,



Shawn Meador

SBM:vms

cc: A. Furer

K. Robison, Esq.

J. Cho, Esq.