

By Fax

February 29, 2008

To: 1) Jarian Cho, Esq
Fax - 382-6511

2) Robert Leucke - Esq
Fax 385-3225

From: Elvira Furu, Client - Fax 228-8553

Dear Jarian and Mr. Leucke,

I have received the finding of facts, conclusion of laws and Decree of Divorce filed Feb. 22, 08 by the court on the same day faxed to my residence from Mr. Leucke's office shortly before 5:00 pm.

Ms. Cho was not furnished a copy until I had faxed it to her on Monday, February 25th, so I could not discuss it with her during the weekend nor was I able to contact Mr. Leucke until February 26th, when

I was told he has not yet read the decision. Ms. Cho could not give me an answer on what step to take next, having not talked to Mr. Leucke until ^{Thursday} February 28, 08, wherein a meeting was set for the three of us to meet on Monday, March 3, 08 in the afternoon, to discuss on what action to take on this unfair, one sided, inconsistent with records, exhibits submitted to the court. This decision is devastating to my life. I feel that Mr. Leucke has not paid much attention to this, as it is not affecting his life.

It is my decision, as a client that we file a motion for RECONSIDERATION OR RETRIAL. Since my monthly allowance had been stopped for 3 months now, I have no money to support the appeal with the Supreme Court, pay my taxes, and my daily subsistence.

I don't know if we have enough time to file a reconsideration or retrial. I have been informed that we have only 10 days to file for reconsiderate but 30 days with the Supreme Court.

This decision of the court has so much inconsistencies.

1) Why is it ordered that I am only entitled my WAMU dividends and interest after Nov. 14, 06 - when it is clearly stated in the MSA that I am entitled to it from July 2004.

Item # 36

Exhibit - Check tendered by Mr. Fure to Unsworth in August/04 which was rejected in order not to validate the PNA. Two more ~~statements~~ statements of tender when Mr. Marks was the lawyer as my share of WAMU dividends - but no checks were enclosed.

Item # 34 → 2)

Judge is asking me to reimburse Mr. Fure 10,000 to Paris bank - when the money that he transferred to the Paris account came from our dollar checking account with the same bank - that was awarded to me.

3)

Sanction re: Auto Insurance - On the Nov. 22, 06 status conference - (in tape) the judge ordered that I get my insurance by December 15, 06, which I did -

As it will be confusing for the insurance who will pay if something will happen

Exhibit - Insurance coverage starting Dec. 15, 06 - ~~my~~ I tried from Dec, 06 to get a 10 million umbrella coverage but my home insurance - AAA only covers up to 5 million. I was also told by another insurance co. that they will not cover another ~~ins~~ umbrella ins. as Mr. Fure already has a 10 million umbrella including me in the policy, ~~including me in the 2 insurance~~

(3)

#18 (4) Judge had verbally sanctioned and berated Ms. Throne for not having Trial Brief ready during the July 5th, 07 status conference - Throne filed Brief on Day of Trial July 9, 07 - without giving Mrs. Furr the final Brief - even during the trial.

Item 18 of decision

5) Judge did not sanction Mr. Furr in spite of the fact that he had withdrawn over 40,000 Swiss francs from the UBS acct - awarded to me - statements of Bank withdrawals and or transfer by phone to another account by Mr. Furr was exhibited in court.

6) Item # 22 - 20
1) Mrs. Cho filed documents for this - Also exhibited was release paper from Hospital that stated I had a STROKE - exhibited
2) During the April 12 or April 30, 07 hearing Robison stated that if Mrs. Furr submits to a deposition the motion for sanction would be "elementary" (or some other word - I think it was elementary - I will verify from tape). I had submitted to the deposition in May, 07. in Reno.

7) Item # 30

Exhibit (account) statement of account opened in Mrs Furr's name, on July 22 or 23, 05 - money came from the joint acct. opened by parties on May 5, 04 - contained 3 million - but account transferred to Mrs. Furr's individual acct was only #2836.60902

(4)

less 163,390.98 - The interest on the 3 million from May 5, 2004 to July 24, 04 at 5% is approximately \$13,000.00.

Actual Interest was \$4,439.02 - Exhibit - Opening statement of account in my name - as instructed by Mr. Furer to CREDIT SAISSE.

Mr. Furer told Mrs. Furer to use the Credit Suisse account to pay for an earnest deposit in case I found a house in Las Vegas that I liked and he will follow me to approve on our supposed new home so we can sell the Incline Home - Earnest amount was \$100,000.00

This was testified to during the April/May 06 hearing -

#31- I was not impeached. The 3 million I had acknowledged receiving was in the document I signed, Mr. Furer signed that that the 3 million was going to be deposited in a joint account. I never saw a statement on this account. The money transferred to my individual account on July 24, 04 was not 3 million anymore but only \$2,896,609.02 - also removed by Mr. Furer was the interest of over ~~40,000~~ \$41,439.00

#38 Judge ordered Mr. Furer to return photos during the April 12, 06 hearing - ordered again in May, 06 hearing, ordered again Nov. 22, 06 conference hearing - Mr. Furer testified in court he had forwarded to me a pallet (pallet?) worth of photos - which was a lie -

Exhibit - Receipts of photos received by Pitoni - I also took photos of an empty box with a few photos in them.

#39 - Large Antique jars are Oriental Porcelain
and Ceramics - part of the collection -
These big jars were never displayed
in the cabinets as they are from
2 ft. To 4 ft tall - submitted -
Exhibits with photos of these
jars all over the floor of the
home in Incline NOT in the
Cabinets

Exhibited on July/07 during
Mrs. Furer requested the additional
large asian jars by the door of the
Incline home as these jars are from
the Ching or Republic of China
Dynasty - not Ming or Sung Dynasty
which are true Antiquities -

#40 - I do not understand this -
I will discuss the rest of the
items on Monday -

We must file this ~~RECONSIDERATION~~ RECONSIDERATION
OR RETRIAL BASED ON INCONSISTENCY,
UNFAIRNESS, JUDGE NOT READING MRS FURERS
DOCUMENTS, LIES, WHICH - WE CAN ALL
SUBSTANTIATE WITH EXHIBITS - AND MOST
OF ALL BIAS OF JUDGE ON ALLEGATIONS
THAT I THREATENED HIS LIFE, ALSO JUDGE
INCOMPETENCE TO RENDER SOUND DECISION
BASED ON HIS OWN WORDS - AS HAVING
NIGHTMARES, STRESS, POST TRAUMATIC
STRESS -

(6)

AND HAS IN THE PAST AND WILL IN THE FUTURE SUFFER LOSS OF ENJOYMENT OF LIFE AND PROFESSION."

We have to file this ASAP TO GET MY MONEY REIMBURSEMENT FOR THE PAST 3 MONTHS - AND FUTURE REIMBURSEMENT WHILE ON APPEAL -

Officer

P.S. I faxed this page later few minutes later - to add below statement

Then our last appeal is the Supreme Court or other appellate courts to invalidate MSA as the PNA WAS INVALID - THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN NO MSA IF THE PNA WAS NOT PRESENTED FRAUDULENTLY - THE PURPOSE WAS TO RECONCILE MARRIAGE, COUPLE WILL LIVE AS HUSBAND & WIFE - AS YOU CAN SEE THE LAS VEGAS HOME IS NOT EVEN MENTIONED IN PNA OR MSA - THEN IT WAS ALLEGED THAT I WANTED TO SEPARATE - BECAUSE I BOUGHT LAS VEGAS HOME - MSA WAS SIGNED UNDER DURESS & THREAT OF MR. FURER AND URGING BY MY LAWYER

By Fax

March 10, 2008

To: Robert Suesk, Esq. - Fax 385-3225
From: Eloisa Furr - Fax - 228-8553

Dear Mr. Suesk,

"In your motion to amend or alter the findings of facts and judgment Pursuant to NREPS 52(b) and 59(c) -"

First of all I never saw a draft nor was I told that such a motion was going to be filed. We had our first meeting on March 2, 03 in your office with Ms. Cho for Two hours, 10 days after the Decision of the court was faxed to your office on the afternoon of Feb. 22, 08.

During our meeting in your office on March 3rd I left your office without any positive conclusion or what you and Ms. Cho was supposed to do in opposition to the VERY BIASED, Unfair, Contrary to the facts, decision of Weller.

Your motion to amend or alter the findings of facts just questions one of the WRONG facts that was in the erroneous decisions. Ms. Cho told me this was the best way to do it. Does this mean that the rest of the wrong findings of the judge is automatically accepted by you and Ms. Cho? I have told you that the facts are wrong. For example - the order for me to have car insurance on the 15th of Dec/06 - as per order of Nov. 27, I have the policy - which was presented to court - but the judge did not accept it as "hearsay". Read the PXA regarding the Asian antiques and porcelain - the 10 million umbrella insurance by the judge - but my insurance CO. ~~did~~ not insure anyone for 10 million -

(2)

The 10 thousand reimbursement to Mr. Finner that was ordered by the judge, in exchange of the photograph - when there was already an agreement stipulation and order of the judge that Mr. Finner sends me the original ~~copy~~ copies of the photos - He hid it court - saying that he had already sent the money to the bank - When he just transferred the money from our dollar checking account at Bank Pariba to the checking account - Petronis side agreement with Mesoloy that the pictures will be given to me if Mr. Finner will be allowed to be reimbursed the 10,000 - he was sending the bank in exchange of the pictures - in spite of the Sept. 17, 06 stipulation agreement.

Mr. Finner had withdrawn money from the CBS + Bank Pariba accounts even if they were in the PNA - that was awarded to me. IT WORRIES ME THAT IN YOUR MOTION ^{RE IS LIMITED} SOLELY TO ISSUES CONCERNING THE WAMUSTOCK IS. IF THE MSA IS VALID SHE IS ENTITLED TO HER DIVIDENDS AND INTEREST, if any from July 2004 ----

Does the above mean that there is an agreement among lawyers and the judge that YOU ARE ACCEPTING THE VALIDITY OF THE MSA?? IS THIS YOUR CONSENSUS AMONG YOU LAWYERS TO MY DETRIMENT?? I know the history of my case - why is my opinion being ignored?

③

Explain to me why the way I interpret your motion is wrong? Corian told me that I am wrong - as you two have your strategy - Explain it then to me.

NEXT TIME BEFORE YOU FILE A MOTION - YOU HAVE TO LET ME READ IT -

It is a clients right - I am showing this motion and the Decision, with the PNA & MSA to someone else for a second opinion - It is not right that I did not know know what you were filing - that is in my opinion is incomplete unless there again is a Conspiracy - What lawyers have done to me ^{in the past} is unforgivable I hope I have one now that

(4)

will protect my interest and fight
for justice and my rights -

because that's what I intend
to do until my last

Breath - in any way I

may get the JUSTICE
I DESERVE -

By Fax

March 12, 2008

To: Robert Leuck, esq
Fax: (702) 385-3225
From: Elaisa Furer, Client
Fax: (702) 228-8553

Re: joint account # 219-624798
Andrew & Elaisa Furer

Dear Mr. Leuck,

I found a notation in my notebook re:
the above account, which was previously
Acct. # 219-48693 before the May 24, 02 Trust.
On March 31, 2002 statement of account,
the closing balance in this acct. was \$18,153.647
In August, 2007 - (5 year 5 month later) the
amount or value of the same account was
only \$18,344.625, an increase of approximately
\$190,000.00.

On September 31, 07, the proceeds of the
Incline Home was deposited to this same
account in the amount of \$9,634.213. With
the above amount from the Incline home proceeds
the joint account as of Sept. 31, 07 ~~was~~
had a balance of \$27,900,489. - before
the stock market went very low.

PLEASE SEE STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS OF
THIS JOINT ACCOUNT FROM JAN/07 TO FEB/08
(MISSING IS MAY/07) I HAVE SELECTED FROM THE
STATEMENTS ONLY THE FIRST PAGE, VALUATION AT A GLANCE
AMOUNT OF PAYMENTS, DIVIDEND OPTION - CASH
I DONOT REALLY KNOW HOW TO READ STATEMENTS
AS COMPLEX AS THIS AS DURING OUR MARRIAGE,
I NEVER LOOKED AT ANY OF THESE.

The way I understand three years worth of statement - MR. FURER MUST HAVE BEEN TAKING CASH DIVIDENDS AND INVESTING THEM IN A SEPARATE ACCOUNT.

THE \$112,500 THAT WAS GIVEN TO ME FROM MAY 2006 - AND THE 60,000 BEFORE THEN WERE MONEY FROM THIS ACCOUNT.

THIS ACCOUNT, ACCORDING TO SIMONINI OUR INVESTMENT BANKER, HAS ABOUT 90% OR 80% OF THE AMOUNT INVESTED IN NON TAXABLE MUNI BONDS, TREASURY BONDS, ETC.

THEREFORE I SHOULD NOT BE PAYING TAXES ON MONEY THAT I HAVE RECEIVED AS THEY CAME FROM NON TAXABLE PROCEEDS.

GARIEN AND MY ACCOUNTANT HAVE FORMALLY ASKED HEADOR THE TAX RETURN OF MR. FURER OF 2006, BUT REFUSED TO GIVE IT TO US. MY ACCOUNTANT WANTS TO FILE AN AMENDED RETURN TO GET BACK SOME OF TAXES THAT I HAD OVER PAID. IN 2006 ALONE I PAID OVER 300,000. - INCLUDING PROPERTY TAXES - I AM ALSO PAYING PROPERTY TAX FOR THE PHILIPPINE CONDO FOR THE PAST 4 YEARS NOW AND CONDO DUES APPROX - \$4000 per year. I HAVE GIVEN TO MR FURER MY TAX RETURN FROM 2004 TO 2006 - BUT HE REFUSED TO GIVE ME HIS 2006 RETURN. I WANT YOU TO FIGHT FOR MY HALF OF THIS ACCOUNT.

(3)

There is no reason why the MSA WAS VALIDATED. IT WAS THROWN OUT (PNA) DUE TO FRAUD AND OVER REACHING. The judge made a MISTAKE IN HIS NOV. 14, 06 ORDER, BY STATING THAT THE COUPLE HAD SEPARATED FROM MAY & JUNE 04 - WE DID NOT SEPARATE UNTIL JUNE 30, 04 WHEN MR. FURER WENT TO JAIL.

THE MSA WAS NEGOTIATED BY AND DICTATED BY MR FURER UNTIL THE DAY HE WENT TO JAIL ON JUNE 30, 04. A NOTATION IN AN MSA DRAFT OF JUNE 29, 04 IN MR FURER HANDWRITING THAT SAID "THIS IS OK FOR ME" A NOTE TO HEADOR IN THE DRAFT. THE JUDGE IS WRONG TO SAY ON NOV. 14, 06 ORDER THAT I SHOULD HAVE BEEN FORWARDED(?). THERE IS A LETTER FROM UNSWORTH TO ME URGING ME TO SIGN MSA - ALSO I HAD TESTIFIED THAT MR. FURER HAD THREATENED ME THAT "IF YOU DO NOT SIGN THIS GENEROUS MSA I WILL MAKE SURE TO VALIDATE THE PNA. ALL THE MONEY THAT YOU GET FROM PNA WILL ALL GO TO LAWYERS, AND YOU WILL LIVE A PAUPER AS THE LITIGATION WILL TAKE YEARS".

THE ^{LAST} DRAFT OF THE MSA W/ MR. FURER'S NOTATION WAS JUNE 29, 04 - OR JUNE 30 - UNSWORTH SENT HER SECRETARY TO THE HOUSE ON JULY 2, 04 WHEN I WAS SICK TO SIGN MSA. I signed it

as I was afraid of MR. FURER'S THREAT, the URGING letter of UNSWORTH & pressure by sending her secretary to the house to for me to sign

(4)

Two days after ANDY WENT TO JAIL FOR KICKING ME. I revoked the MSA - OR MAHAN FILED SOMETHING THAT SCHUMAKER MADE AN ORDER THAT THE DIVORCE IS NOW A CONTESTED DIVORCE SIGHTING SOMETHING ABOUT NRS - THAT PARTIES CAN REVOKE A JOINT PETITION BEFORE A DECREE OF DIVORCE IS GRANTED - THIS WAS THE ORDER OF SCHUMAKER ON JULY 04. (ATTACHED)

ON JULY 22, 04 - or around this time and AUGUST 5, 04 - MR. FURER FILED FOR DIVORCE STATING THAT THE MSA IS OF NO FORCE AND EFFECT, THE PNA IS THE VALID AGREEMENT THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN NO MSA WITHOUT THE PNA THAT WAS INVALIDATED AS FRAUDULENT & OVERRIDING

I have ordered to copy court hearings of May/06, July 9 to 11/07, April 17, 07, April 30, 07, Jan. 25/07 Feb. 22, 07, Nov. 27, 07 Jan 6, 06. IF YOU NEED THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE APRIL 10, 11 & 12/06 hearings, I will also order them. These were the testimony of Unsworth, Mahan, myself & Mr. Furer -

Unsworth testimony of 4/11/06 from 3:46 pm to 5:05 pm
RE MSA - from (4/11/06) 1:33 to 3:30 pm
Re. PNA -

If you need more documentations - I will produce them as soon as I can -
Thank you,
Mrs. Furer

By Fax

March 17, 2008

TO: Robert Leuck
Fax (702) 385-3225
From Ellie Fuere
Fax: 278-8553

Dear Mr. Leuck,

Jarlan Cho told me today that you will be filling a stay? on the payments - or I am not really ^{sure} what it is you are going to file today. I thought the motion you filed two weeks ago would automatically stay payments allowed by the judge in his Feb. 22, 08 decision.

I hope we are not late on this. My Mr. Fuere letter to Simonini, and Robinsons letter to the judge will be executed by the Court, I will be bankrupt - The Washington Mutual stock this morning as of 9:00 AM was \$9.88 per share.

As you are doing the stay on the Divorce decree (and payments) please also include a STAY ON lien of Pitroni and Throne and possibly marks - as the payment ordered by Waller to them comes from the Divorce decree.

After a stay on payments to Attorney's lien, (if you will include it now) on what you are filing I am trying to make an appointment with a law firm to represent me on the liens of especially Pitroni & Throne. Jarlan told me to do this as you are both busy on the Divorce appeal itself. But you have to include the ATTY. Lien on the stay motion.

By Fax

March 17, 2008

TO: Robert Leuck
Fax: (702)-385-3225
From: Eloisa Fuller
Fax: (702) 228-8553

Dear Mr. Leuck,

I have called your office to discuss what you are going to do about the documents faxed to your office on Friday, March 14, 08 from Mr. Fuller's lawyers -

- 1) Letter from Robison and Mr. Fuller to Julius Simonini Re: Sale of stock in Account No. 219-676677
- 2) Letter from Mr. Fuller's lawyer, Kent Robison Re: Fuller v Fuller case NO. DVO4-01626 -

This letter is asking the judge to transfer the joint account assets to Mr. Fuller's individual account as his separate property or "no stay of judgment in this case has been sought by us, nor has one been granted by the court."

I understand that the above documents were faxed to your office after 4:00 pm. It was faxed to me by your office only today at 9:29 AM by your secretary. I asked to speak with you but I was told you were in court. I called again two more times today and left messages for you to call me on the above matters.

AT around 1:30 pm. I was informed by our investment broker with Credit Suisse that he had sold 69,000 shares of my Washington Mutual shares TODAY at the stock's lowest price ever. I called your office to advise you of this sale of my stock. I again left a message with your secretary to have you call me. Up to now I have not heard from you. AT around 6:30 pm. Today, I again

Called your office hoping you were still there. I was hoping against hope that you would answer your phone. As you HAD TOLD me before that you stay late in your office working as your wife does not come home from her job until after midnight. I did not get a reply to my call - but I again left a message to call me.

For a lawyer representing a client in a difficult case like mine, not to call the client on a matter that is urgent that will affect and is already affecting my financial, emotional, and mental state, is not proper.

Ms. Cho had informed me this morning that you had talked to her, and that you were preparing a motion to stay to be filed in court. I hope this is not late due to the fact that 69,000 shares of my WAMU stocks were sold today.

Julien had explained to me today, that she thinks by filing our motion to Amend on March 5th automatically creates a stay. She told me that that is also your belief when you filed the motion.

I was told by Julius Simonini that the reason why the stocks were sold today is to follow the court's decision of February 22, 08 and upon the letter faxed to him by Mr. Robinson.

The reason why I had called you - again after the stocks were sold today was to ask you why it was necessary for Mr. Furer to sell my WAMU stock to implement the unfair decision of Weller.

What Mr. Furer got from selling 69,000 of my stock was approximately \$621,000.00 -

March 17, 08

Why was it necessary for Mr. Furer to sell my 69,000 shares of WAMU stock TODAY at its lowest price, of around \$9.00 per share. Mr. Furer is in control of all my dividends from August 2004 up to the present. I have not received a penny of this dividends at all from Aug. 2004 to Dec., 2007 when the shares of the Dividends were paid from \$0.44 to \$0.56 from Aug. 2004 to Nov. 15, 2007.

For August 2004 dividend paid @ .44 per share. Mr. Furer sent a letter to Mr. Simonini on Sept. 15, 2004 (a copy of this was faxed to your office on March 13, 08) explaining the amount of my dividend share which was a total amount of \$165,880.00. This amount was broken into two parts according to this letter.

- 1) \$45,760.00 was the estimated taxes on the August dividend of 650,000 shares of stock.
- 2) \$120,120.00 was the amount of my share of the 325,000 under the PNA - Not yet the 570,000 share under the MSA -

As you know most of Wellens finding of facts on Feb. 22, 08 was not factual in all of his findings. It looks like that he just read all Mr. Furer's motions and exhibit - NOT reading my motions and exhibit - Totally ignoring my side during the litigation and motions, and exhibits. In his finding of facts he changed the MSA and PNA that combined, allowed or allotted ^{to me} 570,000 shares - then he is only allowing me dividends from WAMU 570,000 - starting Nov. 106 - **WHICH IS WRONG, BIAS AND MALICIOUS** on the Judges part -

(4)

I say, MALICIOUS, WRONG and BIAS, is due to the fact that before he made his decision on Feb. 22, 08, the judge or Mr. Furr and his attorney had asked a Reno detective to investigate me on an alleged ^{anonymous} letter faxed to Judge Weller on Dec. 10, 2007 as coming from me. This letter according to Detective who called me, was a death threat letter to the judge and supposed to have been sent by me on Dec. 10, 2007, which was a very convenient date for them to accuse me, as I was in Reno for a hearing with the Mahan Lien.

Then on Friday, February 8, 2008, a Las Vegas FBI agent called me regarding my alleged threat on Judge Weller's life as communicated to him by Mr. Furr's attorney. On Feb. 14, 08, the Las Vegas ^{FBI agent} met with me at Mr. Leusch's office and was forcing me to assure him that I will not harm Judge Weller according to the report and allegation he had received from Mr. Furr's attorney. I told the detective that I have nothing to retract as a threat to Judge Weller's life - as I did not threaten Judge Weller's life. Had I retracted something that I did not do or say, then they would have accused me of really making such threat. On the same day February 14, my attorneys, Mrs. Furr's attorney ^{and} had a conference call with Judge Weller at 4:00 pm. Before the conference call started with

Supposed issues to be discussed that day, the judge had announced to everyone that he had been informed of Mrs. Furer making a threat on his life. He told Mr. Leuch to file a motion to substitute for another judge.

I immediately told the judge and everyone present that I DID NOT MAKE A THREAT ON HIS LIFE and that it was not necessary for my attorney to file a motion to substitute Judge Weller.

Had I truly threatened Judge Weller's life, I would have sent that fax to his office on Dec. 10, 07, threatening his life - Don't you think any sane person ^{WILL} not welcome the suggestion to substitute Weller in our Divorce ^{Case}, especially if it was suggested by Judge Weller himself?

Knowing in my heart that I was not guilty of the accusation, I confidently told him that I did not want a judge substitution. I wanted this case to be over with so I can move on with my life and take whatever step is to be done after a decision was made on this divorce.

As I understand it now, the false accusation of Mr. Furer and his attorney was just another tactic to win this divorce by any means - including craviny told the judge lies about me that I had threaten his life and probably had sent the alleged Death Threat letter to the judge themselves - on the day I happened to be in Reno. They

They ^{had} to do something to make the judge angry at me. This time they had to make up false accusations of me - the strongest that they possibly could - accusing me of making a death threat on Judge Willis's life, so the judge will be biased towards me.

They got what they wanted as this judge had made a very wrong, very biased, and malicious decision against me on his February 22, 08 divorce decree.

D) How can he allow Mr. Furer to be reimbursed on all the money that he himself, Judge Willis made the order on April 12, 2006, that I am entitled to our passive income. Even in this order the judge was not fully aware of our real passive income. The dividend alone on the Washington Mutual was generally income a minimum of 2,400,000.00 per year between 2006 + 2007. Since 2002 the joint account has maintained a balance between 16 to 18 million.

In his order of April 12, 06 stated that the interim support from our passive income ~~should continue until this of \$225,000~~ I am inclined to cut that in half on an on going basis until this case is resolved. The case is not yet resolved. Why did he allow Mr. Furer to stop my support in January and February - up to now since we have filed motion for retrial.

By Fax

March 18, 08

To: Robert Leuck
Fax: 385-3225
From: Eloisa Fuhr
Fax: 228-8553

Mr. Leuck,

1) We have to mention the 2 lawyers names on the atty lien - so this will serve as a notice to stay, as the money going to them comes from what ~~is~~ is being sold of my stock -

2) I have until Thursday, March 20 or Friday to file a stay on these liens.

3) Maybe something like Mr Fuhr for now has ~~the~~ our joint account at his control - with ~~the~~ values of over 30 million. According to the statement of accounts Mr. Fuhr receives ~~the~~ dividends from this joint account in Cash options

The joint account has liquid cash assets - a significant portion of the assets are in Non Taxable stocks and Bond - which has an estimated income of ~~over~~ almost a million ~~per annum~~ as of the statement of 2/29/08

(2)

Mr. Fuller has substantial liquid assets in his control - Mrs. Fuller feels that selling 69,000 shares of her Walm stock was a malicious intent of Mr. Fuller to bring Mrs. Fuller in financial ruin - Mrs. Fuller's support was stopped ~~that~~ by Mr. Fuller from Jan 1, 2008 to the present - the same time a motion to ask permission from the court to stop monthly support ?? Mrs. Fuller ~~is~~ tried to mortgage her residence. Due to the fact that she could not show an income coming to her Jan. and February/08 - "the last 2 months of statement of account where money comes from as her income" - her equity loan ~~for her~~ was denied.

Mrs. Fuller has several creditors as when her monthly support was stopped she was in the middle of re-decorating her home.

Mrs. Fuller has not paid her current attorneys since Sept. 2007. ~~with~~ for their retainer fee.

(7)

What I have written here are ideas that I think are important to be included - as there is a law that my husband and in fact the Judge cannot put me in financial ruin - especially there are matters pending in court -

I want to be reassured that motions we are filing after decision has a ~~was~~ tone indicating that we are going to appeal the MSA - I don't want this to ~~be~~ disappear through out -

Please call me if you will include my comments - if NOT, why not?

Thank you,

March 13, 08

To: Robert Senok

Fax 385-3225

From: Eloisa Fuller


Fax: (702) 228-8553

Attach is a copy of Discharge
Paper from Valley Hospital on 3/5/07. I
was Diagnosed as having a stroke - yet
I was sanctioned for this. This document
was sent to the court.

Look for attachment to this.

3 5-07

002/002



 Valley Hospital Medical Center 620 Shadow Lane Las Vegas, NV	Patient Information FURER, ELORSA Acct: 108751744 Reg: 108751744	Treating Provider Cons: Ingrid de... 620 Shadow Lane Las Vegas, NV Phone: 702-388-4300	Discharge Summary Date: 3/5/07 Time: 1:38:37 PM <h2>Chart Copy</h2>
--	--	--	--

1) Your Discharge Instructions: TRANSIENT ISCHEMIC ATTACK (TIA) #Document: 421 (English) CEREBROVASCULAR ACCIDENT (STROKE) #Document: 68 (English) AMA FORM #Document: 681 (English)	2) Your Prescriptions:
--	-------------------------------

3) You should Follow Up with:	
Follow Up Physician: Camilo E. Tabora, 3301 W Charleston Blvd Las Vegas NV 89102 Phone: 958-0100 Fax: 958-0104	Follow Up Information On 03/05/2007 this patient was treated in the Emergency Department of Valley Hospital Medical Center at 620 Shadow Lane Las Vegas, NV for Refer to Discharge list above. This patient was asked to follow up 2 Days.

Based on your visit to Valley Hospital Medical Center, you may safely continue your home medications with the additional medications prescribed in box #2 above, if any. Please contact your prescribing physician if you have any questions about your home medications. If certain home medications require discontinuation it will be listed under follow up information in box #3.

I have read and understand the above, received a copy of applicable instruction sheets, and will arrange for follow up care.

 _____ Patient/Parent/Guardian	_____ Date/Time	 _____ Signature	_____ Instructed by	2/40 3/5/07 _____ Date/Time
---	--------------------	--	------------------------	-----------------------------------

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: The information contained in this fax is confidential. If you have received this fax in error, please notify the sender at once and destroy this document.

Powered by Scripto, Inc. <http://www.scripto.com>

Log for
Eloisa Furer
722288553
13 2008 1:32p

Last Transaction

Date	Time	Type	Identification	Duration	Pages	Result
Mar 13	01:30p	Fax Sent	3853225	1:35	2	OK

To discuss w/ ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ LAWYERS

1) if we can file against judge w/ Judicial Disciplinary

A) I was investigated by Porto detective in January
re: supposed anonymous letter sent to judge

B) a report to Mr. Turo's lawyer by Credit Suisse
legal dept - re my threatening the judge's life
when I had called our Inv. Parker Julius
Siddurini - a week the judge made a
bias decision

C) Open letter to judge ~~for~~ ^{or} suit against Washoe
re his decision
and circumstances why his bias decision
a week ~~to before~~ before I was accused
of threatening his life -

D) Torto against my husband - all lies,
including trying to declare me as mentally
ill

E) Sue first lawyer Unsworth, for representing
me while being represented by my
husband's lawyer and urging me to sign
marital settlement - thru a letter -

F) Sue Woodburn & Wedge for
drafting fraudulent PNA, MSA,
for recommending Unsworth to represent
me in ~~the~~ PNA while she was
at the same time he was representing
Unsworth, not disclosing that
Judge Schumacker is the wife
of ~~the~~ W + Wedge Partner when

(2)
We did our trust agreement
in 2002

9) Before I asked for divorce in 2004 —
in the Draft of the trust there was
a pic with \rightarrow 15 Million PNA.

(10) Sue W + W — Meador for all
his lies and ~~is~~ twisting of
facts in his motions when
on affidavits that are
verified — like the Exhibited
supposed to be a tape during a
conversation of husband during
the Montclair home.

(11) Sue Meador + W + W for
accusing me of treating
Waller's wife — Waller deserves
what he got from Mack
he is the most corrupt
judge — no ethics —
Is it true

By Fax

March 20, 08

Mr. Leuck,

It is now 5:30 pm and you have not faxed yet the motion you had said you will file today. I hope all your facts are correct this time - It is critical, I hope you know it. The Motion you filed on April 5, 08 to Amend I thought was not complete as I had discussed it with you at around 3:00 pm - today - Mescon is now saying in the motion you faxed to me today that I had always contended the MSA is invalid why is she trying to validate it now. This is the ~~exact~~ the thing that I was worried about when you filed your motion to Amend it looked like you were saying ~~that~~ that the MSA is valid now - without talking that only according to the ruling of Feb. 22, 08 - but will be appealed.

By Fax
385-3225

March 20, 08

Mr. Lusch,

As you can see now with the statement of assets I just faxed to you a few minutes ago - I can prove that all the judges decision ARE WRONG.

In spite of the fact that Mr. Furer had taken \$172,235.43 from the 3 MILLION - WELLER had decided to let me pay Mr. Furer \$107,000 for the amount that I was to have (in his decision) taken \$60,000 to offset withdrawal of Mr. Furer.

This is a malicious decision of the judge - I told you all the reimbursement he wants me to do - I can prove them in ALL THAT WELLER IS WRONG. SO YOU SHOULD DEAL WITH ALL THESE - NOT JUST DIVIDENDS -

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 03/20/2008 11:33
NAME : ELOISA FURER
FAX : 7022288553
TEL : 7022288553
SER.# : 000E7J7B7549

DATE, TIME	03/20 11:33
FAX NO./NAME	3853225
DURATION	00:00:28
PAGE(S)	01
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDARD ECM

(1)
By Fax

April 14, 2008

To: Robert Lueck
Fax: 385-3225
From: Eloisa Furer
Fax: 228-8553

Mr. Lueck,

I have been trying to talk to you since April 7, 2008 to let you know about another instruction of Mr. Furer to our investment banker, Julius Simonini instructing him to only transfer to my account 246,000 shares of WARM stock, and to hold the Feb. 15, 08 dividend of my 570,000 shares in his trust account for tax payments -

This letter from my husband to Simonini is in violation of the Nov. 14, 07 order as well as the Feb. 22, 08 order which is on appeal as you had told me.

I had finally spoken to you on Friday April 11, 08 regarding the above, as according to your secretary, Nancy, you were busy about the affairs of your friend and client who had passed away. I told you to please write a letter to Simonini to ask for a copy of Mr. Furer's illegal instruction re: my shares of stock and Feb. 15, 08 dividend. You told me you would call him that day.

Today, April 14, 08, I had spoken to you at 3:45 pm. You had told me that you could not talk to Mr. Simonini as you do not have his telephone number which I had given to you previously at two different occasions.

April 14, 08

This matter about my financial affair is of extreme importance to you and me as I DO NOT HAVE any money.

I am disappointed that nothing has been done by you because of a telephone number of Simonini that you cannot find, when you can just call me for it.

I believe my affairs, especially financial, are just as important as the affairs of your other clients. I am completely

puzzled of your reluctance of writing

a letter to Simonini or the judge regarding Mr. Fures instructions to Simonini in again taking hostage of what is mine even with a court order.

I will reiterate that I have been disabused by ^{your} not filing a stay on the Feb 22, 08 decision in spite of the motion filed re: the finding of facts by the judge, and the motion only question about the DIVIDEND only

instead of all the wrong conclusion of facts by the judge which you have all the documents to support that his

finding of facts was erroneous, and has been silent about the money Mr. Fures had taken from the UBS & Paribas Banks.

Here is the telephone and fax of Credit Suisse

Track

(3)

April 14, 08

Here is the Tel # & FAX
of Julius Simonini

Tel - 415-249-2295
Fax - 415-395-1427

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 04/14/2008 16:19
NAME : ELOISA FURER
FAX : 7022280553
TEL : 7022280553
SER.# : 000E7J787549

DATE, TIME	04/14 16:18
FAX NO./NAME	3853225
DURATION	00:00:59
PAGE(S)	03
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDARD ECM

By Fax

April 30, 2008

TO: Robert Lencke, Esq
Fax: (702) 385-3225

From: Eloisa B. Fure
Fax: (702) 228-8553

Mr. Lencke,

We just had a conversation a few minutes ago wherein you told me you are flying to Reno to file a motion on my behalf regarding an appeal to the Supreme Court based on the Judge's denial of April 25, 08.

This is not necessary for now as you said yourself - we have a month. You had called me today that you will be filing these documents tomorrow including release of my money from Credit Suisse.

There have been motions filed after Feb. 22, 08 that were

filed without letting me read them. You know this was the very reason why I had gave Mr. Marks. And you are doing it again?

Your call Today shocked me - just Advising me that you are filing some motions - in other words without letting me see it again? Why? is something happening behind my back?

I do not, do not want you to file ANY MOTION TOMORROW, MAY 1st.

Because I have not seen it - it might be the same incomplete motion you and Jarison filed ~~to~~ after the Feb-22,08 Order, inspite of the fact that I had enumerated all the erroneous fact findings of Weller

(1)
You did not listen to me. I am
the client and it is my RIGHT
TO have an opinion and
suggestion to my benefit
specifically you are new
in this case and don't
know ^{all the} facts. NO ONE
does, except my husband
and myself, and neither,
Don Pop & Unsworth.

DD NOT FILE
ANY MOTION ON MY
CASE ON MAY 1, 2008
You can wait until the
10th day or 30 days for
the supreme court - or until
I talk to you.