

1 MSA. Clearly, until the Court found the MSA to be valid on November 14, 2006,
2 the parties acted as if the MSA had been rescinded and neither party attempted to
3 enforce the terms of the MSA. Mrs. Furer believes that the Court can not enforce
4 the MSA, which was revoked by both parties.

- 5 3. Even assuming the Court has jurisdiction and the MSA was not rescinded, Mrs.
6 Furer has argued through her former counsel that not only the PNA but the MSA
7 should be invalidated because the MSA incorporated the PNA which was found to
8 be fraudulent. Mr. Furer's original fraud and over reaching was not cured in the
9 MSA.
- 10 4. Plaintiff's argument that the Defendant, Mrs. Furer, must be penalized because she
11 breached the petition of the MSA, and Plaintiff did not receive the benefit of his
12 bargain, the quick, inexpensive and amicable divorce by way of joint petition, is not
13 only against the law cited on the Order of Judge Schumacher on July 19,2004 (see
14 Exhibit A) but is coercive and intimidating against Mrs. Furer. Plaintiff had
15 entirely ignored or had been trying to in the hope that subsequent attorneys of
16 Defendant did not or would not notice the order of July 19,2004.
- 17 5. Judge Schumacher had granted the Revocation of the Joint Petition. How can
18 Plaintiff, Mr. Furer, still insist that Defendant, Mrs. Furer, had revoked the Joint
19 Petition for Divorce through the MSA (which was a restatement of the PNA)
20 therefore, had breached the MSA? It was Mr. Furer who revoked the MSA through
21 his filing for divorce on July 2 and August 5, 2004, Mr. Furer stated on August
22 5,2004, in his signed, notarized verification that the *MSA has no force and effect* and
23 the PNA is the valid agreement. Mrs. Furer's position is that both the PNA and the
24 MSA are invalid agreements.

25 DATED this 15th day of August 2007.

26 Respectfully Submitted,

27 By: 

28 ELOISA B. FURER
9812 Winter Palace
Las Vegas, NV 89145
Telephone No.(702) 228-8553
Facsimile No.(702) 228-8553
Defendant in Proper Person

EXHIBIT A

1 This is not a matter properly brought on an ex parte emergency basis. Both parties in
2 this case are advised that Court resources are precious and the filing of frivolous "emergency"
3 motions is discouraged. The Court may *sua sponte* impose sanctions on the parties or their
4 counsel should they persist in filing ex parte motions which are more appropriately filed as
5 notice motions.

6 NRS 125.183 states that at any time before the entry of final judgment either party may
7 revoke the joint petition and terminate the summary proceeding for divorce. Thus, the Court
8 will construe Ms. Furer's Ex Parte Motion as a Notice of Revocation. The parties shall proceed
9 with this case as a contested divorce. There is no need to close this case and open another.
10 The matter shall proceed in this case.

11 The Ex Parte Motion is denied as it is moot.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 Dated: July 19, 2004.

14 

15 _____
District Judge

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ELOISA FURER
9812 Winter Palace
Las Vegas, NV 89145
Telephone No. (702) 288-8553
Facsimile No. (702) 288-8553
Defendant in Proper Person

IN THE FAMILY DIVISION
IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

ANDREW EARL FURER)	Case No.: DV04-01626
)	
Plaintiff,)	Dept. No. 11
)	
vs.)	
)	
ELOISA BESADA FURER,)	
)	
Defendant)	


ADDENDUM TO MRS. FURER'S AMENDED MOTION ON PARTIES RESPECTIVE
RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE MSA

COMES NOW, Defendant, ELOISA B. FURER, in Proper Person respectfully submits her Addendum to the Amended Points on Parties Respective Obligations under the MSA.

1. It will greatly help the Court on its decision regarding the MSA issues, Plaintiff's claims and accusations, against Defendant if the Court will review the trial tapes of Ms. Sandra Unsworth testimony during the April 11, 2006 hearing from 4:01pm to 4:30pm.

Dated this 1st day of August 2007.

Respectfully Submitted,



ELOISA B. FURER
9812 Winter Palace
Las Vegas, NV 89145
Telephone (702) 228-8553

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on this date, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by:

 U.S. Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

First Class Mail, Postage Paid

 Facsimile

 Hand Delivery

upon the following:

Shawn B. Meador, Esq.
c/o Woodburn & Wedge
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
Reno, NV 89511
Fax # (775) 688-3088

~~Honorable Chuck Weller
District Court
One South Sierra Street
Reno, NV 89501
Fax # (775) 325-6603~~

Dated this 15th day of August 2007

Eloisa B. Furer
ELOISA B. FURER

1 SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
2 COUNTY OF WASHOE, STATE OF NEVADA
3

4 AFFIRMATION

5 Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

6
7 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding ~~Motion to Continue Trial~~ filed in *Parties respective*
8 Case No. DV04-01626: *rights and obligations under the MSA*

9 Does not contain the social security number of any person

10
11 -OR-

12 Does contain the social security number of a person as required by:

13 A specific state or federal law, to wit:
14
15 _____

16 -or-

17 For the administration of a public program.

18 -or-

19 Confidential Family Court Information Sheet
20 (NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and NRS 125B.055)

21
22 Dated: August 1st 2007

23
24 *Eloisa Besada Furer*
25 ELOISA BESADA FURER
26
27
28

IN THE FAMILY DIVISION OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

ANDREW E. FURER

vs.

ELOISA B. FURER

FAMILY COURT
MOTION/OPPPOSITION NOTICE
(REQUIRED)

CASE NO. DV04-01626

DEPT. NO. 11

NOTICE: THIS MOTION/OPPPOSITION NOTICE MUST BE ATTACHED AS THE LAST PAGE to every motion or other paper filed pursuant to chapter 125, 125B or 125C of NRS and to any answer or response to such a motion or other paper.

A. Mark the CORRECT ANSWER with an X.		YES	NO
1. Has a final decree or custody order been entered in this case? If <u>yes</u> , then continue to Question 2. If <u>no</u> , you do not need to answer any other questions.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Is this a motion or an opposition to a motion filed to change a final order? If <u>yes</u> , then continue to Question 3. If <u>no</u> , you do not need to answer any other questions.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Is this a motion or an opposition to a motion filed only to change the amount of child support?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Is this a motion or an opposition to a motion for reconsideration or a new trial and the motion was filed within 10 days of the Judge's Order?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
IF the answer to Question 4 is YES, write in the <u>filing date</u> found on the front page of the Judge's Order.		Date	
B. If you answered NO to either Question 1 or 2 or YES to Question 3 or 4, you are <u>exempt</u> from the \$25.00 filing fee. However, if the Court later determines you should have paid the filing fee, your motion will <u>not</u> be decided until the \$25.00 fee is paid.			

I affirm that the answers provided on this Notice are true.

Date: August 1, 2007

Signature: _____

Print Name: _____

Print Address: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Eloisa B. Furer

ELOISA B. FURER

9812 WINTER PALACE DR

LAS VEGAS, NV 89148

TEL. 702-228-8553