

RICHARD O. KWAPIL
GORDON H. DEPAOLI
SUELLEN FULSTONE
JOHN P. FOWLER
JOHN P. MURTHA
STEPHEN S. KENT
W. CHRIS WICKER
SHAWN B MEADOR
R. BLAIN ANDRUS
DON L. ROSS
GREGG P. BARNARD
DALE E. FERGUSON
RANDY A. DRAKE

WOODBURN AND WEDGE
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
6100 NEIL ROAD
SUITE 500
RENO, NEVADA 89511
PO. BOX 2311, RENO, NV 89505
(775) 688-3000
FACSIMILE (775) 688-3088

SHAWN G. PEARSON
DANE W. ANDERSON
MICHAEL W. KEANE
JOHN F. KEUSCHER
SHARON M. PARKER
JESSICA H. NEUGEBAUER
—
WILLIAM K. WOODBURN (1910-1989)
VIRGIL H. WEDGE (1912-2000)
CASEY W. VLAUTIN (1938-2001)
—
OF COUNSEL
JAMES J. HALLEY

February 17, 2005

SENT VIA FACSIMILE

James W. Puzey, Esq.
Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw
5250 South Virginia Street #220
Reno, NV 89502-6000

Re: Furer v. Furer


Dear Jim:

Mr. Furer has just received a dividend payment on the Washington Mutual Stock. Pursuant to the Post Nuptial Agreement, Mrs. Furer's share of the Community Property Washington Mutual Stock dividend, net of estimated taxes comes to \$125,580. Mr. Furer hereby tenders Mrs. Furer's share of the Community Property Mutual Stock dividend.

As you may know, Mr. Furer has previously tendered to Mrs. Furer her share of earlier Community Property Washington Mutual Stock dividends pursuant to the terms of the parties' Agreement. When she rejected those tenders, he placed her share into an account where it is being held for her benefit.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,


Shawn B Meador

SBM:jgh
cc: A. Furer

James Puzey

From: Shawn Meador [smeador@woodburnandwedge.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2005 3:33 PM
To: James Puzey
Subject: RE: furer

ok, I have to head out of office in a few minute so probably won't be able to touch bases until tomorrow a.m.

-----Original Message-----

From: James Puzey [mailto:JPuzey@kkbr.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2005 3:36 PM
To: Shawn Meador
Subject: RE: furer

I appreciate your clients response. I am trying to contact Mrs. Furer and will give her the latest update. Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Shawn Meador [mailto:smeador@woodburnandwedge.com]
Sent: Thu Feb 17 15:24:49 2005
To: James Puzey
Subject: furer

Jim

I have been on phone with Andy.

He will agree to proposal where your paralegal and mine go inventory and photograph contents of safety deposit box, my paralegal then leaves with Andy's watch, his papers and Alex's papers. Any papers in dispute would be inventoried and held by you as officer of the court until we resolve dispute. Jewelry and Ellie's papers stay in box. If they run into any questions, they call us to resolve.

I can get him to agree not to insist upon valuing the personal property now if before she moves the property he gets: 1) copies of all photos of items taken; 2) all lists of items packed and moved, including the items in the boxes that are already packed; 3) he gets copies of any forms she fills out with moving company that shows value of items and any forms regarding insurance of items.

I will await word from you on the whole timing of the move/civil compromise issue. I appreciate that there is no level of trust between them. However, as I explained, I think a court order that carries with it power of contempt and specific performance fully and completely protects her, because court can order him to let her in the house to pack and move. While, at the same time, the court order and power of contempt do not fully protect him because court can't make her do the civil compromise. By the time it would get before the judge, the criminal trial would be over.

Let me know your thoughts.

Shawn

<<Shawn B Meador.vcf>>

2/18/2005